

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,583	10/15/2003	Gregory M. Glenn	29483.0001	2329	
75	90 06/29/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			POPE, DARYL C		
Washington Squ Suite 1100	ıare		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			2632		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	{ h	<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,583	GLENN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DARYL C. POPE	2632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
· _ · · _ ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the condition for all condition of the condit	wance except for formal ma	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	-		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-96 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	-	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/684,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 10 recites the limitation "microprocessor" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

ART REJECTION:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-96 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joao(5,917,405).
 - -- In considering claim 1, the claimed subject matter that is met by Joao includes:
- 1) the sensor for obtaining data is met by the office equipment systems(1615) which include a variety of types of sensors and data collection devices(see: column 68, lines 35 et seq; column 69 lines 1 et seq; column 70 lines 1 et seq;

2) the control board for receiving a processing data from the devices is met by the CPU(4) which receives, process, and controls data and operations for all equipment in the system(see: column 71 lines 4-19).

With regards to the claimed board, although not specifically shown by Joao, use of a board for a CPU is well known, and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a board into the CPU, since this would have facilitated placement of processing circuitry into the CPU.

- -- With regards to claims 2-9 and 19-36, although not specifically taught by Joao, considering the multitude of sensors and data collection devices included in Joao as discussed above, it would have been obvious that the devices would have included a combination of digital and analog sensors, converters, protocol, serial data interfaces, data packets, compressed data, GPRS/GSM gateway, parallel or serial ports, telemetry radio, server decompressor, N-byte wide messages, header and sensor data, and as well identifiers since this would have been necessary in order for data to be communicated to the CPU and the server computer(510) in order for monitoring and control functions to be process by the CPU and users of the system.
- -- With regards to **claims 10-12**, the temperature sensor linked to the microprocessor and for measuring environmental temperatures around the apparatus including microprocessor is met by the microprocessor device of the CPU(see: column 20, lines 62-64) and is connected to all devices in the system including thermostat system(1617, column 68, lines 12-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/684,583 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

-- With regards to claims 13-14, the voltage sensor is met by the central electrical system(1607) which is monitored and controlled by the CPU(see: column 67, lines 7-31). Furthermore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate solar/battery system into the electrical system of Joao, such that the voltage of a solar/battery system would have been measured by the electrical system, since this would have provided a well known and low cost means of providing electricity to the system of Joao.

- -- Claims 15 and 17 recite subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.
- -- With regards to **claims 16** and **18**, since the system of Joao monitors a water system(1613), it would have been obvious that a monitored condition would have been liquid level and presence of a liquid(see: column 67 lines 61 et seq; column 68, lines 1-11).
 - -- Claims 37-48 recite subject matter that was met as discussed in claim 1-36 above.
- -- With regards to claims 49-54, the allowing data to be access remotely through a computer network such as the Internet, local network, or wide area network is met(see: column 29 lines 1-35).
- -- Claims 55-96 recite subject matter that is met as discussed in claims 1-54 above.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,583 Page 5

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL WU can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daryl C. Pope

June 26, 2005

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2632)